# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference G142-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below					
International application No. PCT/JP2005/014687	International filing date (day/month/year) 10 August 2005 (10.08.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 August 2004 (10.08.2004)					
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237							
Applicant SANBO SHINDO KOGYO KABUS	SHIKI KAISHA						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.							
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).							
Date of issuance of this report 20 February 2007 (20.02.2007)								
	The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Authorized officer  Masashi Honda					
Facs	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70  e-mail: pt08.pct@wipo.int							
Facs	imile No. +41 22 338 82 70		C-man. proopers repeated					

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATTON From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below G142-PCT Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 10.08.2004 10.08.2005 PCT/JP2005/014687 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant SANBO SHINDO KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014687

В	ox No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With filed,	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under	1
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2	. With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
	•	contained in the international application as filed.	1
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
	_		
	3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
	4. Ado	ditional comments:	
١		$\cdot$	
	•		
١			
			!
١			
- 1			

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014687

Box			le 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability porting such statement	'; 
1.	Statement		·	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	7-17, 19-21, 25, 26	YES
•		Claims	1-6, 18, 22-24	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	7-17, 19-21	YES
			1-6, 18, 22-26	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-26	YES
		Claims		NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 116926/1982 (Laid-open No. 020811/1984) (Sambo Copper Alloy Co., Ltd.), 08 February 1984

Document 2: JP 10-152735 A (Sambo Copper Alloy Co., Ltd.), 09 June 1998
Document 3: JP 07-197150 A (Sambo Copper Alloy Co., Ltd.), 01 August 1995
Document 4: JP 61-048547 A (Mitsui Mining & Smelting Co., Ltd.) 10 March 1986
Document 5: JP 2004-113003 A (Goshi Kaisha Baba Shoten), 15 April 2004

The inventions of claims 1-6, 18, 22, and 23 are described in document 1 (claims, Fig. 1) cited in the ISR; therefore they do not appear to possess novelty or to involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 2, 4-6, 18, and 22-24 are described in document 2 (claims, paragraph 0045, table 1, Fig. 1) and document 3 (claims, paragraphs 0001, 0020, table 1) cited in the ISR; therefore they do not appear to possess novelty or to involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 4-6, 18, and 22-24 are described in document 4 (claims, table 1, [Effect of the Invention]) cited in the ISR; therefore they do not appear to possess novelty or to involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 25 and 26 do not involve an inventive step based on document 2 and 4 and document 5 (claims, paragraph 0018, Fig. 1) cited in the ISR. Installing a reinforcing frame of document 5 at the lower end part of an aquafarming net described in documents 2 and 4 would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The inventions of claims 7-17 and 19-21 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor are they obvious to a person skilled in the art.